UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,474	· 05/02/2001	Guangming Shi	990517	6899
	7590 03/19/2007 INCORPORATED		EXAM	INER
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		DAO, M	IINH D
SAN DIEGO, (CA 92121	• •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/19/2007	FLECT	PONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t_ssadik@qualcomm.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/847,474	SHI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		MINH D. DAO	2618
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximately	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/ This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7,9-13,15-19 and 21-24 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9-13,15-19 and 21-24 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an are subject.	awn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the first order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the first order.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/06 regarding claims 1-7,9-13,15-19,21-24 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7,9-13,15-19,21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (US 6,263,202) in view of Levine (US 6,972,082).

Regarding claim 1, Kato teaches a system for data entry in a wireless communication device (See figure 5), the system comprising: an audio-input device to receive audio-data (Figure 5, item 40); a voice-recognition engine (figure 5, item 50) to receive and analyze the audio-data, wherein the voice-recognition engine is configured to interpret the audio-data as matching a selected one of a set of alphanumeric characters to use in conjunction with the operation of the wireless communication device (col. 4, lines 55-67;

.-

Application/Control Number: 09/847,474

Art Unit: 2618

col. 5, lines 1-4; figure 2, items 12 and 14); and a memory to store the selected alphanumeric character for subsequent use in conjunction with the operation of the wireless communication device (figure 5, item 54, 50 and 42). However, Kato fails to teach interpreting the audio-data as matching a selected one of a set of commands, the set of commands comprising at least one command for configuring the voice-recognition engine in interpreting the audio-data; and a processor to execute the command. Levine, in an analogous art, teaches personal assistant system equipped with voice recognition engine to interpret audio input such as audio commands and execute the commands (see col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Levine to Kato in order for the combined system to allow entry of commands by voice as taught by Levine. It is also well known in the art that all of the commands are executed by a processor of the system.

Regarding claim 3, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the system of claim 1, further comprising a transmitter to transmit the selected alphanumeric character to a remote location (Reference Kato, figure 2, item 14 and 1205).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the system of claim 1, wherein the memory (Reference Kato, figure 5, item 54; col. 6, lines 47-48) stores a plurality of selected alphanumeric characters, the plurality of

selected alphanumeric characters comprising at least a portion of an electronic message, the system further comprising a transmitter to transmit the electronic message to a remote location (Reference Kato, col. 4, lines 55-67; col. 5, lines 1-4; figure 2, items 12 and 14).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the system of claim 4 wherein the electronic message is compatible with a short-messaging-service protocol (Reference Kato, figure 2, the Electronic Mail Transmission 1023).

Regarding claims 6 and 18, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches a system wherein the voice-recognition engine is configured to interpret the audio-data as matching a selected one of a set of commands (Reference Levine, col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 22) to process the electronic message (Reference Kato, col. 4, lines 55-60), the system further comprising a processor to execute the selected command (Reference Ho, figure 2, item 214).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches system comprising:

a system for storing addresses in a wireless communication device (Reference Kato, see figure 5), the system comprising: an audio-input device to receive audio-data (Reference Kato, Figure 5, item 40); a voice-recognition engine to receive and analyze

the audio-data, wherein the voice-recognition engine is configured to interpret the audio-data as matching a selected one of a set of alphanumeric characters (Reference Kato, col. 4, lines 55-67; col. 5, lines 1-4), a processor to associate an address-identifier with a plurality of selected alphanumeric characters (reference Levine, col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 22); and a memory to store the plurality of selected alphanumeric characters in association with the associated address-identifier wherein the voice-recognition engine is further configured to interpret the audio-data as matching a selected one of a set of commands to process the plurality of selected alphanumeric characters and the associated address-identifier, the processor executing the selected command (reference Levine, col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 22).

Regarding claims 9 and 21, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the system of claim 7 wherein the plurality of selected alphanumeric characters associated with the address-identifier represents at least part of a destination telephone number (Reference Levine, col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 22).

Regarding claims 10 and 22, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the system of claim 7 wherein the plurality of selected alphanumeric characters associated with the address-identifier represents at least part of an electronic address (Reference Levine, col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 22).

Regarding claims 12 and 24, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the system of claim 7 wherein the voice-recognition engine is further configured to interpret the audio-data as the address-identifier (Reference Levine, col. 4, line 61 to col. 6, line 22).

Regarding claim 13, the claim has the same limitations as that of claim 1, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 15, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the method of claim 13, further comprising transmitting the selected alphanumeric character to a remote location (Reference Kato, figure 2, item 14 and 1205).

Regarding claim 16, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the method of claim 13, further comprising storing a plurality of selected alphanumeric characters (reference Kato, figure 5, item 54; col. 6, lines 47-48), the plurality of selected alphanumeric characters comprising at least a portion of an electronic message, and transmitting the electronic message to a remote location (reference Kato, col. 4, lines 55-67; col. 5, lines 1-4; figure 2, items 12 and 14).

Regarding claim 17, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine teaches that the method of claim 16 wherein the message is compatible with a short-messaging-service protocol (reference Kato, figure 2, the Electronic Mail Transmission 1023).

Regarding claim 19, the claim has the same limitations as that of claims 1, 7, and 13, therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejections of

claim 1, 7, and 13.

Regarding claims 11 and 23, the combination of the teachings of Kato and Levine

teaches the plurality of selected alphanumeric characters associated with the address-

identifier represents at least part of a street address (see Levine, col. 5, lines 4-10; col.

5, lines 60-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-

7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,474 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao (A) AU 2618 July 22, 2006

Mathew Anderson Superviser AU 2618